



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/077,213	02/14/2002	Chung-Hsiun Wu	13062-002001	3100
26161	7590 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER '
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			WEHBE, ANNÉ M	IARIE SABRINA
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,213	WU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Anne Marie S. Wehbe	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
eriod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communicatio	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 March 2004.		
· _	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7 and 13-16</u> is/a		1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12 and 17-32</u> is/are rejecte	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·	
··· _			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are 		ad to by the Eveminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,	` ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	- · ·	,	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 H.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Mail Date brown Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response to the restriction/election requirement received on 4/16/04 has been entered. Applicant's election without traverse of the species "antibody" is acknowledged. Claims 1-32 are pending in the instant application. Of these, claims 7 and 13-16 have been withdrawn as being drawn to subject matter non-elected without traverse. Claims 1-6, 8-12, and 17-32 are currently under examination in the instant application. An action on the merits follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 17, 19-20, 23-26, 29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,462,254 (10/8/02), hereafter referred to as Vernachio et al. The applicant claims methods of preparing a fusion protein comprising administering to a mammal a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein which contains a first amino acid sequence and a second amino acid sequence, wherein the second amino acid sequence contains a first member of a specific binding pair, removing a biological sample from the mammal that contains the fusion protein, and isolating the fusion protein by binding second member of the specific binding pair to the fusion protein. The applicant further claims methods of isolating a target binding molecule which is an antibody by following the method of preparing a fusion protein and further contacting the fusion protein with a solution comprising the target antibody and isolating the antibody by means of its binding to the first member of the fusion protein. The applicant also claims said methods wherein the sample is serum or a tissue lysate, wherein the second member of the specific binding pair is a monoclonal antibody, wherein the first member of the specific binding pair is a peptide of at least 5 amino acids in length, and/or wherein the fusion protein is immobilized.

Vernachio et al. teaches methods of isolating and concentrating a fusion protein which comprises a capture tag and a detection tag comprising administering to a mammal a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein comprising a capture tag sequence and a detection tag sequence and capturing the fusion protein from a sample from the mammal with an antibody that specifically binds to the capture tag sequence (Vernachio et al., columns 4, 7, and 13-14, see claims 1-15). The capture tag sequence is the "second amino acid sequence" of the fusion protein and the "first member of a specific binding pair", while the antibody which recognizes the capture tag is the

Art Unit: 1632

"second member of the specific binding pair". Vernachio et al. further teaches that the capture tag can be a peptide more than 5 amino acids long, see column 14, claim 3; and that the antibody can be a monoclonal antibody, see column 5, lines 51-56). Vernachio et al. also teaches the immobilization of the fusion protein to a solid surface such as a membrane, microtiter dish, or magnetic bead (Vernachio et al., column 6, lines 57-67). In addition, Vernachio et al. teaches that the sample from the mammal containing the fusion protein can be serum or a tissue lysate (Vernachio et al., column 11, lines 28-37, and column 12, lines 25-67). Finally, Vernachio et al. teaches that the purified fusion protein can be used in binding assays with antibodies which recognize and bind to detection tag sequence present in the fusion protein (Vernachio et al., columns 7-8 and 13-14, see in particular claim 4). Thus, by teaching all the elements of the claims as written, Vernachio et al. anticipates the instant invention as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 1632

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 10-11, 18, 21-22, 27-28, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,462,254 (10/8/02), hereafter referred to as Vernachio et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,726,044 (3/10/98), hereafter referred to as Lo et al. The applicant claims methods of preparing a fusion protein comprising administering to a mammal a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein which contains a first amino acid sequence and a second amino acid sequence, wherein the second amino acid sequence contains a first member of a specific binding pair, removing a biological sample from the mammal that contains the fusion protein, and isolating the fusion protein by binding second member of the specific binding pair to the fusion protein. The applicant further claims methods of isolating a target binding molecule which is an antibody by following the method of preparing a fusion protein and further contacting the fusion protein with a solution comprising the target antibody and isolating the antibody by means of its binding to the first member of the fusion protein. In addition, the applicant claims said methods wherein the first member of the specific binding pair is an Fc domain of an immunoglobulin, and/or wherein the first amino acid sequence is cleaved from the second amino acid sequence.

Vernachio et al. teaches methods of isolating and concentrating a fusion protein which comprises a capture tag and a detection tag comprising administering to a mammal a nucleic acid

Art Unit: 1632

encoding a fusion protein comprising a capture tag sequence and a detection tag sequence and capturing the fusion protein from a sample from the mammal with an antibody that specifically binds to the capture tag sequence (Vernachio et al., columns 4, 7, and 13-14, see claims 1-15). The capture tag sequence is the "second amino acid sequence" of the fusion protein and the "first member of a specific binding pair", while the antibody which recognizes the capture tag is the "second member of the specific binding pair". Vernachio et al. further teaches that the capture tag can be a peptide more than 5 amino acids long, see column 14, claim 3; and that the antibody can be a monoclonal antibody, see column 5, lines 51-56). Vernachio et al. also teaches the immobilization of the fusion protein to a solid surface such as a membrane, microtiter dish, or magnetic bead (Vernachio et al., column 6, lines 57-67). In addition, Vernachio et al. teaches that the sample from the mammal containing the fusion protein can be serum or a tissue lysate (Vernachio et al., column 11, lines 28-37, and column 12, lines 25-67). Finally, Vernachio et al. teaches that the purified fusion protein can be used in binding assays with antibodies which recognize and bind to detection tag sequence present in the fusion protein (Vernachio et al., columns 7-8 and 13-14, see in particular claim 4).

Vernachio et al. differs from the instant invention by not teaching that the capture tag sequence is an Fc domain of an immunoglobulin. Vernachio et al. however teaches that the capture tag sequence is a sequence of amino acids that specifically binds to a ligand such as an antibody (Vernachio et al., column 2, lines 20-21, and column 2). Vernachio et al. further teaches that the particular capture tag sequence is not critical to the invention, and that the capture tag is chosen for its ability to concentrate the fusion protein (Vernachio et al., column 5, lines 11-16). Lo et al. provides motivation for using an Fc region of an immunoglobulin as a "capture tag

Art Unit: 1632

sequence" in the fusion protein provided by Vernachio. Lo et al. teaches nucleic acid vectors for expressing a fusion protein in mammalian cells wherein the fusion protein comprises an Fc region of an immunoglobulin linked by a protease cleavage site to a selected target polypeptide (Lo et al., columns 3-4). Lo et al. further teaches that the presence of the Fc region of an immunoglobulin in the fusion protein allows for increased production of the target protein and ease of collection because the secreted fusion protein can be collected without the need for cell lysis and can be purified using common reagents including antibodies and protein A (Lo et al., column 2, especially lines 29-35, and column 3, lines 9-23). Lo et al. further teaches the advantages of including the protease cleavage site in the fusion protein because the target polypeptide can be easily separated from the Fc region used to purify the fusion protein (Lo et al., columns 3 and 9). Note as well that Lo et al. teaches that protease inhibitors can also be administered to prevent cleavage of the fusion protein by proteases (Lo et al., column 16). Thus, based on the motivation to include the Fc region of an immunoglobulin and a protease cleavage site in a fusion protein in order to facilitate and improve the purification of the fusion protein as taught by Lo, and based on the teaching of Vernachio et al. that the "capture tag sequence" should be selected based on its ability to concentrate the protein, it would have been prima facie obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of filing to use the Fc region linked to a protease cleavage site as taught by Lo et al. as the "capture tag sequence" in the fusion proteins taught by Vernachio et al. Furthermore, in view of the successful use of the Fc region to purify fusion proteins as taught by Lo et al., and the high level of skill in the art of molecular biology at the time of filing, the skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success in

Art Unit: 1632

modifying the vectors encoding a fusion protein taught by Vernachio et al. to include the nucleic acid sequence encoding the Fc region and the protease cleavage site as taught by Lo et al.

Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,462,254 (10/8/02), hereafter referred to as Vernachio et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,726,044 (3/10/98), hereafter referred to as Lo et al., as applied to claims 2, 10-11, 18, 21-22, 27-28, and 30-31 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,703,055 (12/30/97), hereafter referred to as Felgner et al. The applicant claims methods of isolating a target binding molecule which is an antibody comprising administering to a mammal a nucleic acid encoding a fusion protein which contains a first amino acid sequence and a second amino acid sequence, wherein the second amino acid sequence contains a first member of a specific binding pair, removing a biological sample from the mammal that contains the fusion protein, isolating the fusion protein by binding second member of the specific binding pair to the fusion protein, further contacting the fusion protein with a solution comprising the target antibody and isolating the antibody by means of its binding to the first member of the fusion protein. In addition, the applicant claims said methods wherein the first member of the specific binding pair is an Fc domain of an immunoglobulin, and wherein the target antibody is generated by immunizing an animal with a nucleic acid construct encoding the fusion protein.

The teachings of Vernachio et al. and Lo et al. as they apply to claims 2, 10-11, 18, 21-22, 27-28, and 30-31 are presented in detail above. While Vernachio et al. does teach administering the nucleic acid to the animal in order to produce the fusion protein through binding to the detection tag sequence, and further teaches that the target antibody capable of

Art Unit: 1632

of success.

binding the fusion protein can be made by challenging the animal with the detection tag, Vernachio et al. does not specifically teach that the nucleic acid encoding the fusion protein is used to challenge the animal to produce the target antibody (Vernachio et al., column 5, lines 53-56). Felgner et al. supplements Vernachio et al. by demonstrating that nucleic acid immunization with an antigenic polypeptide efficiently produces antibodies specific to the immunizing antigen (Felgner et al., columns 38-39 and 42). Thus, based on the teachings of Vernachio et al. that the target antibody can be made by challenging an animal with the fusion protein, and the teachings of Felgner et al. that nucleic acid immunization with an antigen efficiently produces antigenspecific antibodies, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to the skilled artisan to prepare the target antibodies by immunizing an animal with the nucleic acid encoding the fusion protein as taught by Vernachio et al. or Vernachio et al. in view of Lo et al. with a reasonable expectation

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-18 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 17-18, and 29-31 are dependent claims that depend on claims 1, 2, 20, 21, and 22 respectively. Claims 17-18 and 29-31 recite the methods of the parent

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/077,213

Art Unit: 1632

claims, "further comprising immobilizing the fusion protein". The claims do not clarify when the

fusion protein is immobilized in relation to the steps recited in the parent claims, in other words

is the fusion protein immobilized before it is bound to the second member of the binding pair,

after it has bound the second member of the binding pair but before it binds to the target binding

molecule, or after it has bound both the second binding pair member and the target binding

molecule. As such, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to

Anne Marie S. Wehbé, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0737. The examiner can be

reached Monday- Friday from 10:30-7:00 EST. If the examiner is not available, the examiner's

supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at (571) 272-0804. For all official communications, the

technology center fax number is (703) 872-9306. For informal, non-official communications

only, the examiner's direct fax number is (571) 273-0737.

Dr. A.M.S. Wehbé

ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER